



# Adventures in Bridge

Leaders in Bridge Entertainment and Education

[www.advinbridge.com](http://www.advinbridge.com)

## ***This Week in Bridge***

### **(213) Always Lead Partner's Suit?**

© AiB

Level: 2

Robert S. Todd

[robert@advinbridge.com](mailto:robert@advinbridge.com)

#### **General**

There are some “old rules” of bridge that still have value. But they are not perfect and you know what they say about rules in general – “They are made to be broken!” This is very much the case for the rule, “Always Lead Partner’s Suit!” We must be careful in bridge with any rule that includes the word “always.” Very few things in bridge are “always” true - there is often more information to consider to help us make our best decision. Let’s look at when we should lead partner’s suit and when we should consider something different.

#### **Knowing Partner**

An important thing in bridge is to know your partner’s temperament. With some players if you do not lead their suit when they have opened or overcalled, they will get so upset that they will certainly make a mistake later in the defense. If this behavior describes your partner, then you may want to lead their suit more often (and maybe search for other partners to play with as well).

#### **Suit Contracts - Shortness Leads**

Another long-time bridge saying is “The only reason to not lead partner’s suit is that you are void, and even then, you should try to.” Clearly, we cannot lead the suit when we are void, but when we are void in partner’s suit they will often be able to figure it out from their hand, the dummy, and the auction. If we had a singleton in partner’s suit, that would be one of the common good leads against a suit contract (trying to get our ruff) and we certainly would lead it. So, if we are likely to be short in partner’s suit and do not lead it, partner will often be able to work out that this is because we are void in their suit.

#### *Example*

Partner overcalls ♠ and the opponents end up in 4♥. If we lead what looks like a 4<sup>th</sup> best ♣ (say the ♣5) and it seems to partner that we are short in ♠ because dummy has something like a 4-card ♠ suit, then partner can infer that we may be void in their suit and should consider trying to get us a ruff.

Another reason related to shortness that we may not lead partner's suit is that we have a singleton in another suit and hope for a ruff. This lead of a singleton is particularly effective if we have a trump control and can regain the lead before trump is completely drawn. After we regain the lead we can cross to partner's hand by then leading their suit, allowing partner to now give us a ruff.

### **Suit Contracts - Holding Partner's Ace**

Holding the Ace in partner's suit makes for a difficult decision about leading their suit. The modern style is to overcall very aggressively (or even open the bidding aggressively) so we certainly cannot count on partner to have the King of their suit whenever they bid it. This means that leading the Ace may give up a trick and it may be best to save our Ace to capture one of the opponent's honors in this suit. Nothing is absolute, but without anything else fabulous to lead, leading the Ace in partner's suit from Ax (doubleton) is much better than leading from Axx or Axxx. Leading Ace doubleton has the added advantage of possibly getting us a ruff if partner has the King or if we can get partner the lead before trump are drawn. Also, when we are shorter in the suit (like with Ax instead of Axx), then the opponents are more likely to be longer and have more losers.

#### *Example*

          K93  
A7          QT842  
          J65

In this position, we lead the Ace and then another, setting up partner's Queen. Hopefully partner can later get in elsewhere and then cash the Queen.

### **Suit Contracts - Few Tricks Available in Partner's Suit**

We may know that leading partner's suit is not likely to produce many tricks in a suit contract because we have a large fit for partner's suit. Since the point of leading partner's suit is to try to build tricks for our side, then if we know there are few (or even no) tricks available in this suit, we may choose to attack somewhere else and try to build tricks there.

#### *Example*

Consider the following auction.

1♥  4♠  5♥  5♠

All Pass

If we are the responder and have 5-card ♥ support for our partner's ♥ suit, we know that we are taking at most one trick in the ♥ suit (and many times none) before the

opponents can ruff. In a case like this we should consider attacking in one of the minor suits, hoping to build (or take) tricks there.

### Example

Consider this auction:

1♣	1♥	1♠	P
4♥	P	4♠	All Pass

Here, the opening bidder has made a splinter bid in partner's suit (showing 0-1 cards in ♥). We know that we can take at most one ♥ trick. We should consider leading another suit in this situation, perhaps a ♦, the unbid suit.

## Suit or NT Contracts - Leading Our Own Powerful Holding

When we have a standout lead in our own hand, like AKQ or KQJ (or others), it is reasonable to make this lead and immediately set up (or take) tricks for our side instead of leading partner's suit. Partner should recognize that if we lead an honor instead of their suit, it is likely from a very powerful holding. This is in contrast to the situation where we lead a spot card in another suit instead of leading partner's suit, often as a singleton.

## Other Times to Choose Another Lead

There are some other times not to lead partner's suit. We may choose to lead trump to stop the declarer from ruffing their losers (especially in partner's suit) in the short side. If we think dummy is going to have shorter trump support (2 or 3 cards) and shortness in partner's suit, then leading trump may protect partner's tricks from getting ruffed away.

If the opponents seem ready for the lead of partner's suit, we may choose to lead an unbid suit. This is especially true against a slam.

### Example

1♣	1♥	1♠	P
3♣	P	3♠	P
4♠	P	6♠	All Pass

In this auction clearly the opponents are ready for a ♥ lead. Responder has jumped to slam expecting a lead of a ♥ (they would be crazy to have two losers in the ♥ suit on this auction.) We should consider leading a ♦ (the unbid suit) in this auction. This is especially true with an attractive ♦ holding like QJT.

Another consideration when it comes to leading partner's suit is whether they opened the bidding with that suit or made an overcall. An opening bid is dictated by rules of strength and shape, not by suit quality. Partner will often not have an option when determining whether to open the bidding. With an overcall, on the other hand, partner has more options. With a borderline hand, they did not have to overcall. We can also consider which suit partner bid. An opening bid of 1♣ does not promise as much length as that of 1♦, which still could be shorter than 1M. If our goal is to set up partner's suit, then the longer their suit is the better chance we have of doing so, especially against NT contracts.

### **Opening Leads Against 3NT**

When partner has overcalled a suit and the opponents have bid to 3NT, we may need to choose between leading partner's suit (which is at least 5 cards long) or our longest suit. We usually will not consider leading our own suit unless it is at least 5 cards long as well. If we have a singleton in partner's suit, we will not be able to lead the suit again if we regain the lead. So, we should think about how likely we are to regain the lead. If we have about 8-9 point, we know that partner does not have a lot of values (maybe 6-8 points) and thus can't have both a great suit and an outside entry. In this situation, leading partner's suit may serve as a passive lead, but it is unlikely to defeat the contract. If we want to beat the contract it may be better to lead our 5-card suit (the only suit where our side may have a fit) and see if partner has an honor there or if they can get in with an outside honor and return our lead - hopefully setting up our suit to allow our side to take more tricks.

### **Conclusion**

When partner bids a suit, it is often a good idea to lead their suit. But this is certainly not an "always" rule. There are many factors that go into choosing an opening lead - your hand, partner's actions, the opponents' actions, and the final contract. The bottom line is to not just blindly lead partner's suit because they bid! Consider all the information available to you and find the best lead for your side.